

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/518,837	03/03/2000	Frank D. Tuttle	800470	9750
23372 7590 09/08/2008 TAYLOR RUSSELL & RUSSELL, P.C.		EXAMINER		
4807 SPICEWOOD SPRINGS ROAD			POINVIL, FRANTZY	
BUILDING TWO SUITE 250 AUSTIN, TX 78759			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3692	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
•			09/08/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.



Commissioner for Patents United States Patent and Trademark Office P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

Douglas D. Russel Taylor Russell & Russell, P.C. 4807 Spicewood Springs Road Building Two Suite 250 Austin, TX 78759-8435 MAILED FROM DIRECTORS OFFICE

SEP 0 5 2008

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600

In re Application of:

Frank D. Tuttle

Application No. 09/518,837

Filed: March 3, 2000

For: Loan Compliance Auditing

System and Method

DECISION ON PETITION

UNDER 37 CFR 1.181

This is in response to Applicant's petition under 37 CFR 1.181(a)(1) filed April 4, 2007 requesting withdrawal of the Examiner's rejection of Applicant's claims 1-42 in the Office action mailed February 7, 2007.

The Petition is DISSMISSED as Moot.

Applicant alleges that the Non-Final rejection mailed on February 7, 2007 is premature because the examiner did not have the authority to reopen or reconsider prosecution of the proceedings for matters already adjudicated by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences.

A review of the record reveals that sufficient cause were shown as the examiner has properly reopened the prosecution of the application as the non-final Office action mailed on February 7, 2007 was clearly approved by the acting director and it was based on the new grounds of rejections proposed by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences' suggestions. The new grounds of rejections were clearly articulated by administrative judge Levy in the decision and the opinion presented in the decision rendered on March 24, 2006 (See BPAI Decision page 13-15).

For the foregoing reasons, the Office action mailed on February 7, 2007 is proper and no abuse of discretion or arbitrary or capricious action is evidenced. Furthermore, a Notice of Allowability was mailed on June 26, 2008. Accordingly, the petition is dismissed as moot.

Questions concerning this decision should be referred to SPE Kambiz Abdi at (571) 272-6702.

Summary: Petition DISSMISSED as Moot

Wynn Coggins, Director

Patent Technology Center 3600

(571) 272-5250

TL